




MATRIK

Jurnal Manajemen dan Teknik Industri-Produksi

Journal homepage: <http://www.journal.umg.ac.id/index.php/matriks>



Analysis of Production Process Waste Using Lean Manufacturing Approach at PT. XYZ

Nur Muafiatul Farichah¹, Wiwin Widiastih²

^{1,2} Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya
Jl. Semolowaru No. 45 Surabaya, 60118, East Java, Indonesia

Email : 1412200075@surel.untag-sby.ac.id

INFO ARTIKEL

doi: 10.350587/Matrik
vxxix.xxx

Jejak Artikel : (diisi editor)
Upload artikel

Revisi oleh reviewer

Publish

Keywords :

*Lean Manufacturing, Waste,
Value Stream Mapping,
Process Activity Mapping, Root
Cause Analysis*


ABSTRACT

Waste in the production process can reduce efficiency and hinder the smooth flow of production. This research aims to identify types of waste, analyze the causes of waste, and provide improvement suggestions using the Lean Manufacturing approach in the production process at PT XYZ. The methods used include Value Stream Mapping (VSM) to map the production flow, Process Activity Mapping (PAM) to identify value-added (VA), non-value-added (NVA), and necessary non-value-added (NNVA) activities, as well as Root Cause Analysis (RCA) to determine the root causes of waste. The research results show that the dominant wastes are waiting, inventory, and motion. Improvement proposals were made thru Future State Mapping (FSM) with layout improvements, preventive maintenance, and work in process (WIP) control. The improvement results show a reduction in processing time, a decrease in transfer distance, and an increase in production flow efficiency.

1. Introduction

The competition in the manufacturing industry encourages companies to improve the efficiency of their production processes in order to meet market demands, maintain product quality, and enhance the operational performance of the company [1]. Production is an activity that transforms various inputs into outputs, so effective and efficient process management is necessary to create added value [2]. The textile industry, as one of the developing manufacturing sectors, is also required to manage the flow of production optimally so that production activities can run smoothly and minimize waste [3].

PT XYZ is a manufacturing company in the textile sector that produces various types of yarn for both local and export markets. In its production process, the company uses raw materials such as nylon and rayon, which are processed thru several production stages as follows.



Picture 1. Production Process Flow

In its operations, potential waste such as waiting, inventory, and motion are still found [4]. This is based on field observations and interviews with workers, caused by several issues such as waiting activities between processes, frequent machine downtime during the rewinding process, non-value-added activities by operators such as moving back and forth between machines, and product rework waste at the rewinding and soft processing workstations. In addition, there is an imbalance in capacity between processes that causes a buildup of work in process (WIP) after the dyeing and drying processes, before rewinding or pre-winding, and hinders the smooth flow of the production process. These conditions can

reduce the efficiency of the production process, so waste identification is necessary.

This research uses the Lean Manufacturing approach, specifically the Value Stream Mapping (VSM) method, VALSAT with Process Activity Mapping (PAM) tools, and Root Cause Analysis (RCA) to identify and minimize waste in the production process. Lean Manufacturing is a systematic approach to identifying, reducing, and eliminating waste thru continuous improvement to enhance efficiency and value addition in the production process [5]. As for the methods, there is Value Stream Mapping (VSM) used to map the flow of materials and information, then Process Activity Mapping (PAM), which is part of the VALSAT tools used to identify value-added, non-value-added, and necessary non-value-added activities, while Root Cause Analysis (RCA) is used to determine the root causes of the waste that occurs [6].

The selection of the method is based on previous research that shows that the combination of VSM, PAM, and RCA methods is effective in identifying dominant wastes such as waiting, inventory, and motion, and provides improvement proposals that can enhance production process efficiency [7]. In addition, the method is considered suitable for the characteristics of the problems in the yarn production process because it can identify non-value-adding activities and help formulate process improvements in a more targeted manner [8].

2. Research Methodology

The research method was conducted systematically to identify and minimize waste in the production process using the Lean Manufacturing approach. This research was conducted at PT XYZ on the production process of Tritop sewing thread, with a focus on identifying waste that affects the efficiency of the production process. The Lean Manufacturing approach was applied using Value Stream Mapping (VSM), Process Activity Mapping (PAM), and Root Cause Analysis (RCA) methods to analyze the

production process conditions and formulate improvement proposals.


Data collection was carried out thru observation, interviews, documentation, and measurement of production process time. The data used consists of primary and secondary data related to production activities. Primary data is obtained thru direct observation of the production process and interviews with company representatives, while secondary data is obtained from company documents that support the research. The data collected is then processed thru the calculation of production process activity times, followed by data uniformity tests and data sufficiency tests to ensure that the data used meets the processing requirements.

The processing and analysis stage is carried out using Value Stream Mapping (VSM) to map the flow of materials and information in the production process, Process Activity Mapping (PAM) to identify value-added and non-value-added activities, and Root Cause Analysis (RCA) to determine the root causes of waste as a basis for formulating improvement proposals. Subsequently, Future State Mapping (FSM) is prepared to illustrate the condition of the production process after the implementation of the improvement proposals.

3. Results and Discussion

Current State Mapping

Current State Mapping describes the actual condition of the current production process flow, including activities, information flow, and process time [9]. The initial stage of creating the current state mapping is the collection of data on cycle time, lead time, uptime, number of machines, and number of operators [10]. From the data processing, a Current State mapping was created as shown in the image below.



Picture 2. Current State Mapping

Procces Activity Mapping

Process Activity Mapping (PAM) is used to map all activities in the yarn production process at each workstation by categorizing activities into operation, transportation, inspection, delay, and storage to identify value-added and non-value-added activities [11]. The mapping results indicate that there are still activities that have the potential to cause waste, so improvement efforts are needed to enhance the efficiency of the production process. The PAM results for all workstations can be seen in the table below.

Table 1. Procces Activity Mapping Soft Procces

Activity	Total	Time (Minute)	Percentage (%)
Operation	1	120	48%
Transportation	5	10.25033	4%
Inspection	1	0.25	0.10%
Storage	2	0.117	0.05%
Delay	1	120	48%
Total	10	250.61733	100
VA	1	120	48%
NVA	0	0	0%
NNVA	9	130.61733	52%
Total	10	250.61733	100

The table above is the PAM calculation for one of the workstations, namely the Soft Process. Below is a series of calculations for all workstations.

Table 2. Overall Classification of PAM Workstation

Work station	Type of Activity (Quantity)					Classification (Time)		
	O	T	I	D	S	VA	NVA	NNVA
Soft Process	1	5	1	1	2	120	0	130.6
Color Mixing	5	3	4	1	1	62	0	21.9
Dyeing	5	4	1	1	1	243	0	254.0
Drying	5	2	0	0	0	32.5	0	17.3
Quality Control	1	1	3	1	0	0	20	9.6
Winding	1	5	3	1	2	20	0	30.3
Packing	4	3	2	0	0	1.3	0	14.7
TOTAL	22	23	14	5	6	478.7	20.0	478.4


Based on the table above, the packing station has the lowest VA percentage (8%), followed by QC (0%) and winding (40%). This condition indicates that most activities in the production process have not directly added value. Waste waiting was found at the soft process and dyeing stations due to process waiting times and machine downtime. Inventory waste occurs at the drying station due to the accumulation of work in process (WIP) between production processes. Meanwhile, motion waste is found at the soft process, winding, and packing stations due to excessive movement of operators and materials caused by the suboptimal facility layout. These conditions cause the production flow to become less efficient and potentially increase the overall processing time.

Root Cause Analysis

Root Cause Analysis (RCA) is conducted to identify the root causes of waste in the production process [12]. Root Cause Analysis (RCA) was conducted on three dominant types of waste using a fishbone diagram to identify the root causes of the problems occurring in the production process. The analysis was conducted on waiting waste, inventory waste, and motion waste by considering human factors (man), machine (machine), method (method), material (material), and work environment (environment). Thru this analysis, the factors causing waste that affect the efficiency of the production process were identified, which can be used as a basis for formulating improvement proposals. The following are the results of


identifying the root causes of the problem based on the fishbone diagram analysis.

1. Waste Waiting (Soft Process & Dyeing):


Picture 3. Fishbone Diagram Waste Waiting


From the fishbone diagram above, waste is caused by the imbalance between operator working time and machine operating time, resulting in waiting time during the production process. Additionally, the absence of standard work and the arrangement of parallel work activities result in a less than optimal process flow. Another factor that affects it is machine downtime, which hinders the smoothness of production. At the dyeing station, the suboptimal grouping of color batches causes the machine's capacity to be underutilized, potentially increasing waiting times and reducing production process efficiency. This condition causes the production flow to become less smooth and creates waiting waste in the production process.

2. Waste Inventory (Drying & Winding):


Picture 4. Fishbone Diagram Waste Inventory

It occurs due to the imbalance of capacity between workstations, where the dyeing process produces 100 pcs per batch, while drying can only accommodate 50 pcs per cycle. A similar condition also occurs in the pre-winding area when the drying output exceeds the winding capacity. Additionally, the absence of a maximum WIP limit and production scheduling that does not take machine capacity into account leads to inventory waste and material accumulation between processes.

3. Waste Motion (Winding & Packing):



Picture 5. Fishbone Diagram Waste Motion

Due to the suboptimal layout of the work area, the less integrated placement of facilities, and the absence of standard work related to operator movements. At the packing station, the position of the packing scan area, which is 39 meters away from the packing area, causes operators to make back-and-forth movements that do not add value, resulting in waste motion and reducing production process efficiency.

Proposal for Improvement

Based on the results of waste identification and Root Cause Analysis (RCA), three groups of improvement proposals were formulated to minimize waste occurring in the production process.

1. Waste Inventory


To control the waste inventory, we can set a maximum WIP limit in the dyeing-drying and drying-winding buffer areas, monitor WIP periodically, and implement visual control and a pull system to reduce material accumulation. Below are the proposed visual control implementations in the areas with accumulation.

2. Waste Waiting

The proposal to improve waiting waste is carried out by regulating the flow of processes more evenly between workstations, controlling material queues, and reducing machine downtime thru preventive maintenance and machine checks before operation. In addition, monitoring of Work In Process (WIP) and periodic management of material flow are carried out to reduce waiting time and prevent accumulation during the production process. These improvements are expected to smooth the production flow, minimize waiting activities, and enhance the overall efficiency of the production process.

3. Waste Motion

The proposal to improve waste motion is carried out by minimizing non-value-added operator movements thru the reorganization of the work facility layout and changes in the workflow to reduce movement distance and travel time. Improvements are applied to the soft process, winding, and packing workstations, which are indicated to experience waste motion, with the expectation of increasing work movement efficiency and smoothing the flow of the production process. The initial layout of all workstations can be seen in the following image [13]



Picture 6. Layout Before Repair

Soft Process and Winding Work Area

Changes were made to the layout of the work facility by moving the position of the material basket and scale, which were previously located beside the machine, to the center between the two machines. Additionally, the operator's workflow was changed from vertical to horizontal to shorten the transfer during the production process. This change aims to reduce the operator's transfer distance, facilitate access to materials and work equipment, and reduce non-value-adding activities (waste motion). Here are the calculations for the basket and scale.

1. Yarn Basket

Given :

Width between machines	= 2,5 meters
Meters width of the basket	= 0,43 meters
Length of the basket	= 0,60 meters

Because the basket is placed between two machines, the part used to calculate the remaining space is the width of the basket, which is 0.43 m. With the following calculation.

$$2,5 - 0,43 = 2,07 \text{ meter}$$

Because the basket is placed in the middle, the empty space on the right and left sides of the basket is:

$$\frac{2,07}{2} = 1,035 \text{ meter}$$

So, if the basket is placed in the middle between the machines with a distance of 2.5 meters between the machines, there will still be about 1.035 meters of space available on the right and left sides of the basket.

2. Scale

Given :

Length = 0,165 meters

Width = 0,25 meters

Width between machines = 2,5 meters


Because the scale is placed between two machines, the part used to calculate the remaining space is the width of the scale, which is 0.25 m. With the following calculation.

$$2,5 - 0,25 = 2,25 \text{ meter}$$

Because the scale is placed in the middle, the empty space on the right and left sides of the basket is:

$$\frac{2,25}{2} = 1,125 \text{ meter}$$

So, if the scale is placed in the middle between the machines with a distance of 2.5 meters between the machines, there will still be about 1.125 meters of space available on the right and left sides of the scale.



Picture 7. Soft Process Layout Before Improvement


Based on the initial layout of the soft process work station, the operator's movements are still quite long, with a distance of 3.6 meters from the thread pickup to the machine, 3 meters from the chest pickup to the machine, 8 meters for monitoring between spindles, 4 meters for the weighing process, and 4 meters for moving

the soft product basket to the soft product rack. These conditions cause the operator's movements to increase, potentially leading to waste motion and reducing the efficiency of the production process.

Table 3. Distance of the Soft Process Workstation Before Improvement

Stasiun Kerja : Soft Proses		
From	To	Distance (m)
Thread pickup in the basket	Machine	3.6
Taking the chest in the basket	Machine	3
Monitoring the right spindle	Spindel left	8
Machine	Scale	4
Scale	Soft result basket	3
Soft result basket	Soft result rack	4

The improvement results show that the distance of the right spindle monitoring to the left spindle has decreased from 3 meters to 2.5 meters, while the distance from the machine to the soft output basket has become 1.035 meters. This change helps improve workflow efficiency and minimize waste motion.




Picture 8. Layout Soft Process After Improvement

Table 4. Distance of the Soft Process Workstation After Improvement

Stasiun Kerja : Soft Proses		
From	To	Distance (m)
Thread pickup in the basket	Machine	3.6
Taking the chest in the basket	Machine	3
Monitoring the right spindle	Spindel left	2,5
Machine	Scale	2,5
Scale	Soft result basket	1,9
Soft result basket	Soft result rack	4

The change also occurred at the Winding workstation because there are materials that require excessive movement. Below is an initial layout of the Winding workstation.




Picture 9. Winding Layout Before Improvement

Based on the winding workstation layout, the operator's movement is still quite high with a thread pickup distance to the machine of 3.9 meters, a chest pickup distance to the machine of 3.5 meters, spindle monitoring reaching 6 meters, a scale pickup distance of 3 meters, and a production result transfer distance to the result rack of 4 meters. These conditions cause the operator's movements to increase, potentially leading to waste motion at the winding workstation.

Table 5. Distance of the Winding Workstation Before Improvement

Stasiun Kerja : Winding		
From	To	Distance (m)
Taking thread from the basket	Machine	3.1
Taking the chest in the basket	Machine	3.2
Monitoring the right spindle	Spindel left	6
Scale	Machine	3
Temporary storage of the machine	shelf result	4

The improvement results show that the distance from the raw material basket to the machine has become 1.035 meters, making the workflow more efficient, reducing unnecessary movements, and helping to minimize waste motion in the winding area.




Picture 10. Winding Layout Before Improvement

Table 6. Distance of the Winding Workstation After Improvement

Stasiun Kerja : Winding		
From	To	Distance (m)
Taking thread from the basket	Machine	1,035
Taking the chest in the basket	Machine	1,035
Monitoring the right spindle	Spindel left	6
Scale	Machine	1,125
Temporary storage of the machine	shelf result	4

Packing Work Area




Picture 11. Packing Layout Before Improvement

In the initial layout of the packing workstation, there is waste motion due to the distance between the packing scan area and the packing area, which reaches 39 meters, causing excessive operator movement and reducing production process efficiency.

Table 7. Distance of the Packing Workstation Before Improvement

Workstation : Packing		
From	To	Distance (m)
Packing	Scan Packing	39

The previous placement of the scan packing was near the raw material area to accommodate supporting installations and space availability. However, this location limited the operators' movement and made the workflow less efficient. Therefore, a proposal was made to relocate the scan packing area closer to the office to facilitate operator movement, improve the tidiness of the work area, and support the supervision of the production process.




Picture 12. Packing Layout After Improvement

Table 8. Distance of the Packing Workstation Before Improvement

Workstation : Packing		
From	To	Distance (m)
Packing	Scan Packing	13

In the proposed layout, the packing scan position is moved to the front office area, reducing the operator's movement distance to 13 meters. This change helps reduce waste motion, shorten the workflow, and improve the efficiency of the packing process. From several proposed relocations at the three workstations, overall improvements have been made to the production facility layout to reduce the distance between activities, minimize non-value-added movements, and balance the flow of the production process. Thus, the proposed layout condition becomes more efficient compared to the initial condition and can support overall productivity improvement. A

complete overview of the improved layout can be seen in the following image.



Picture 13. Layout After Repair

Changes After Improvements

After the improvements were made, there were changes in the time and distance of movement in several processes, making them shorter compared to the previous conditions due to the elimination and merging of several work elements. The estimated time changes after the improvements are as shown in the following table.

Table 9. PAM Classification After Improvement

Work station	Type of Activity (Quantity)					Classification (Time)		
	O	T	I	D	S	VA	NVA	NNVA
Soft Process	1	5	1	1	2	120.0	0.0	130.5
Color Mixing	5	3	4	1	1	62.0	0.0	21.9
Dyeing	5	4	1	1	1	243.0	0.0	254.0
Drying	5	2	0	0	0	32.5	0.0	17.3
Quality Control	1	1	3	1	0	0.0	20.0	9.6
Winding	1	5	3	1	1	20.0	0.0	30.3
Packing	4	3	2	0	0	1.2	0.0	14.1
TOTAL	22	23	14	5	5	478.7	20.0	477.7

After the layout and process flow improvements were made, there were changes in the transfer distances for several work activities, resulting in shorter and more efficient operator movements. A comparison of the transfer distances before and after the proposed improvements can be seen in the table below.

Table 10. Distance After Repair


Stasiun	From	To	Distance (m)	Distance (m)
			Before	After
Soft proses	Thread pickup in the basket	Machine	3.6	3.6
	Taking the chest in the basket	Machine	3	3
	Monitoring the right spindle	Spindel left	8	2.5

Stasiun	From	To	Distance (m) Before	Distance (m) After
	Machine	Scale	4	2.5
	Scale	Soft result basket	3	1.9
	Soft result basket	Soft result rack	4	4
	Taking thread from the basket	Machine	3.1	1.03
Winding	Taking the chest in the basket	Machine	3.2	1.03
	Monitoring the right spindle	Spindel left	6	6
	Scale	Machine	3	1.12
	Temporary storage of the machine	shelf result	4	4
Packing	Packing	Scan Packing	39	13
TOTAL			83.9	43.68

From the calculations above, it is known that it can reduce distance and time. Thus, layout and workflow improvements can enhance the smoothness and effectiveness of the production process.

Future State Mapping

Future State Mapping is developed based on the proposed improvements that have been made, including layout changes, reduction of transfer distances, workflow adjustments, and elimination of non-value-added activities [14]. The improvement results show that the process flow has become smoother, material transfer more efficient, and the process time shorter, thereby increasing the effectiveness of the production process [15].



Picture 14. Future State Mapping

4. Conclusion and Suggestions

Conclusion

Identification of waste in the Tritop sewing thread production process flow at PT. XYZ

using VSM and PAM resulted in findings of dominant waste, including waiting waste at the soft process and dyeing stations, inventory waste in the dyeing-drying and drying-winding buffer areas, and motion waste at the winding and packing stations.

The results of the RCA analysis using a fishbone diagram revealed that waiting waste is caused by machine downtime, an imbalance in machine-operator capacity, and suboptimal batch grouping; inventory waste is caused by an imbalance in capacity between stations and the absence of WIP control; and motion waste is caused by a suboptimal facility layout.

Improvement proposals to reduce waste in the Tritop sewing thread production process at PT XYZ were made thru the preparation of Future State Mapping (FSM) with the kaizen concept, including inventory control, layout improvement, and preventive maintenance. The proposed improvements show a reduction in processing time and transfer distance, as well as an increase in production flow efficiency.

Suggestions

The company is advised to implement the Future State Mapping (FSM) improvement proposal gradually thru layout improvements, Work In Process (WIP) control, production scheduling, and preventive maintenance to reduce waste and increase production process efficiency. Further research can develop the analysis using other lean methods to make the improvement results more optimal.

5. Daftar Pustaka

- [1] Z. Mahrerozi, "Laporan Tugas Akhir Perancangan Lean Manufacturing Dengan Metode Vsm Dan Fmea Untuk Mengurangi Waste Pada Produk Barecore Cv. Bangun Usaha Mandiri".
- [2] C. Dwinanta And W. Widiastih, "Analisis Lean Manufacturing Untuk Mengurangi Cycle Time Dan Waste Di Divisi Dms Pt Xyz," Vol. 8, No. 2, 2025.
- [3] Kadek Esa Pratiwi Ngurah Putri, "Pengaruh Trend Fashion Terhadap Pertumbuhan Industri Tekstil Terhadap Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca: (Studi Komparasi Indonesia Dan Vietnam)," *J. Huk. Adm. Publik Dan*

Negara, Vol. 3, No. 1, Pp. 16–29, Jan. 2026, Doi: 10.62383/Hukum.V3i1.855.

[4] R. R. Reza And A. Santoso, “Penerapan Lean Manufacturing Di Sebuah Perusahaan Keramik,” *J. Tek. Ind. J. Has. Penelit. Dan Karya Ilm. Dalam Bid. Tek. Ind.*, Vol. 8, No. 2, P. 140, Dec. 2022, Doi: 10.24014/Jti.V8i2.19954.

[5] L. L. Salomon, W. Kosasih, E. C. Lam, And S. Putri, “Penerapan Lean Manufacturing Untuk Meningkatkan Efisiensi Produksi Kemasan Plastik,” Vol. 02, No. 02, 2024.

[6] E. Ansyah, S. Kustiwan, And S. Supriyati, “Analisis Lean Manufacturing Untuk Mengurangi Cycle Time Dengan Menggunakan Metode Value Stream Mapping,” *J. Penelit. Inov.*, Vol. 5, No. 3, Pp. 2153–2162, Jul. 2025, Doi: 10.54082/Jupin.1693.

[7] W. T. W. Siagian And J. A. S. Tekmapro, “Analisis Penerapan Lean Manufacturing Dengan Metode Vsm (Value Stream Mapping) Guna Mengurangi Waste Dan Cycle Time Pada Proses Produksi Keramik Di Pt Xyz,” *Tekmapro*, Vol. 19, No. 2, Jul. 2024, Doi: 10.33005/Tekmapro.V19i2.419.

[8] R. Z. Firdaus And W. Wahyudin, “Penerapan Konsep Lean Manufacturing Untuk Meminimasi Waste Pada Pt Anugerah Damai Mandiri (Adm),” *J. Integr. Syst.*, Vol. 6, No. 1, Pp. 21–31, Jul. 2023, Doi: 10.28932/Jis.V6i1.5632.

[9] A. Septiana, S. Khulillah, C. Febryanti, H. Wibowo, And D. Irwati, “Pengaruh Penerapan Lean Manufacturing Terhadap Kinerja Produksi Di Pt. Xyz,” *J. Ind. Eng. Manag. Just-Me*, Vol. 6, No. 02, Pp. 336–340, Jul. 2025, Doi: 10.47398/Just-Me.V6i02.137.

[10] D. Chandradhinata And R. Nur Fadilah, “Minimalisasi Pemborosan Menggunakan Metode Lean Manufacturing Dan Green Manufacturing Di Ukm. Asela,” *J. Kalibr.*, Vol. 23, No. 1, Pp. 49–59, May 2025, Doi: 10.33364/Kalibrasi/V.23-1.1600.

[11] S. Setiawan, T. N. Wiyatno, And I. Setiawan, “Peningkatkan Produktivitas Di Industri Otomotif Melalui Optimalisasi Sistem Produksi Dengan Metode Lean

Manufacturing,” *J. Media Tek. Dan Sist. Ind.*, Vol. 9, No. 2, Pp. 86–93, Sep. 2025, Doi: 10.35194/Jmtsi.V9i2.4943.

[12] J. A. Hizbullah And H. C. Wahyuni, “Integrasi Six Sigma Dan Root Cause Analysis Dalam Peningkatan Kinerja Di Pt Xyz,” *Matrik J. Manaj. Dan Tek. Ind. Produksi*, Vol. 24, No. 1, P. 73, Sep. 2023, Doi: 10.30587/Matrik.V24i1.5950.

[13] S. S. Rahman, Abdul Baten, Manjurul Hoque, And Md. I. Mahmud, “Impact Of Lean Manufacturing On Productivity And Layout Design In Sewing Section Of A Garment Industry,” *Int. J. Ind. Manag.*, Vol. 17, No. 3, Pp. 152–161, Sep. 2023, Doi: 10.15282/Ijim.17.3.2023.8955.

[14] M. Alfian And N. Hamidah, “Implementasi Value Stream Mapping (Vsm) Untuk Mengurangi Waste Dalam Produksi Precast Di Cv. Abc,” 2026.

[15] J. Haekal, “Integration Of Lean Manufacturing And Promodel Simulation On Repair Production Process Flow Of Polysilane Bottle Printing Using Vsm, Wam, Valsat, And Rca Methods: Case Study Packaging Manufacturing Company,” *Int. J. Sci. Adv.*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2022, Doi: 10.51542/Ijscia.V3i2.15.