

JRSI Telkom University

[11033] Ithenticate (%).docx



Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::3117:590067527

Submission Date

May 13, 2026, 3:42 PM GMT+7

Download Date

May 13, 2026, 3:48 PM GMT+7

File Name

[11033] Ithenticate (%).docx

File Size

386.8 KB

9 Pages

5,168 Words

29,584 Characters

9% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text
- Cited Text

Match Groups

-  **45 Not Cited or Quoted 9%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 7%  Internet sources
- 6%  Publications
- 1%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

-  **45 Not Cited or Quoted 9%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 7%  Internet sources
- 6%  Publications
- 1%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	doaj.org	1%
2	Internet	repository.its.ac.id	1%
3	Internet	repository.unissula.ac.id	<1%
4	Publication	Didin Kristinawati, Ghalib Kamal Ghalib. "Strategic workload management in digi...	<1%
5	Internet	ejournal.uin-suska.ac.id	<1%
6	Internet	www.laptopbag.cn	<1%
7	Submitted works	Telkom University on 2022-07-22	<1%
8	Publication	Aisyah Nur Laili Afifah, Endah Rahayu Lestari. "Line Balancing Analysis in Ribbed ...	<1%
9	Internet	ojs.unik-kediri.ac.id	<1%
10	Publication	Shinta Wahyu Hati, Nurulia Septiani. "Analysis of employee workload optimizatio...	<1%

11	Publication	Taufiqur Rachman, Iphov Kumala Sriwana, Stefanny Liyanawati Zalukhu. "Deter...	<1%
12	Internet	repository.um-surabaya.ac.id	<1%
13	Internet	questions.zollege.in	<1%
14	Internet	www.iiste.org	<1%
15	Internet	www.coursehero.com	<1%
16	Publication	Farida Ariani, Khawarita Siregar, Tugiman. "Determination of Total Labor Plant 1 ...	<1%
17	Internet	itegam-jetia.org	<1%
18	Publication	Aura Castillo Matias. "Work Measurement: Principles and Techniques", Wiley, 2001	<1%
19	Internet	repository.president.ac.id	<1%
20	Internet	repository.radenintan.ac.id	<1%
21	Publication	I S Fahin, N Banuwati. "Reducing Work Overtime in Production Line by Comparin...	<1%
22	Publication	John S Oakland. "Statistical Process Control", Routledge, 2019	<1%
23	Publication	Makenzie Dolly, Ashish Nimbarte, Thorsten Wuest. "The effects of gamification fo...	<1%
24	Publication	Sasongko Adji Hadisaputra, Erni Puspanantasari Putri. "Penentuan Jumlah Tenag...	<1%

**JURNAL REKAYASA SISTEM DAN INDUSTRI**

e-ISSN: 2579-9142 p-ISSN: 2356-0843

<http://jr.si.telkomuniversity.ac.id>**Workload Analysis to Determine Labor Requirements Using the Workload Analysis (WLA) Method**Ferdian Nizar Afnan Fairuz¹, Handy Febri Satoto²^{1,2} Program Studi Teknik Industri, Fakultas Teknik, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya, Indonesia**ARTICLE INFO****Article history:**

Received xx-xx-xx

Revised xx-xx-xx

Approved xx-xx-xx

Keywords:Anthropometrics,
Product Design, Ergonomics,
Productivity, Dimpling Skin
Tool.**ABSTRACT**

UD. Hardi Jaya is a shoe manufacturing enterprise that implements a job order production system, causing production demand to fluctuate and resulting in workload imbalances across several production sections. This study aims to analyze workload and determine the optimal workforce requirements using the Work Load Analysis (WLA) method. Data collection was conducted through observation, interviews, documentation, and stopwatch time study involving 15 production operators in the cutting, sewing, manual work, sole installation, and packing sections. The collected data were tested using data uniformity and data adequacy tests before calculating cycle time, normal time, standard time, and workload values. The results showed that several operators experienced overload conditions with workload values exceeding 100%, namely Sole Installation 1 at 114.54%, Sole Installation 2 at 109.52%, Cutting 1 at 102.85%, and Manual Work 2 at 102.85%. The sole installation process became the main production bottleneck with an output capacity of 9 pairs per hour or 68 pairs per day. Based on the WLA calculation, the company ideally requires 19 workers to meet the production target of 3,067 pairs per month, while the current workforce consists of only 15 workers. However, based on capacity and labor cost analysis, the company is recommended to maintain the existing 15 workers by implementing an overtime system because it is considered more economical than recruiting additional workers. The implementation of the WLA method is expected to assist the company in workforce planning, workload balancing, and improving production efficiency.

1. Introduction

Small and medium manufacturing industries (SMEs) play an important role in supporting national economic growth, particularly in creating employment opportunities and fulfilling market demand. In facing increasingly competitive industrial conditions, companies are required to improve the efficiency and productivity of their production processes in order to maintain business sustainability. One of the factors influencing company productivity is proper workforce management in accordance with the workload at each production process [1].

In manufacturing industries, workforce allocation that is not aligned with actual workload conditions may lead to production inefficiencies, bottlenecks, excessive overtime, and decreased worker performance. Therefore, companies are required to implement systematic workload measurement to ensure that the number of workers and work capacity are proportional to production demands. Proper workload

management not only affects productivity but also influences employee fatigue, work quality, and operational cost efficiency.

UD. Hardi Jaya is a company engaged in shoe manufacturing using a job order production system. This system causes product demand to fluctuate, requiring the company to adjust its production capacity according to market needs. In its production process, UD. Hardi Jaya consists of several work stages, including material cutting, sewing, manual work, sole installation, and packaging. Each stage has different work characteristics and completion times, which may lead to workload imbalance among workers.

The shoe manufacturing industry generally involves labor-intensive production activities where production performance is highly dependent on worker productivity and the effectiveness of labor allocation. In a job order production environment, fluctuations in customer demand often create uneven workloads among production sections. If this condition is not properly managed, several workstations may experience overload conditions while others experience underutilization,

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.25124/jr.si.vxxx.xxx>Email: ferdiannizar123@gmail.com (Fairuz, F. N. A.)*, handyfebri@untag-sby.ac.id (Satoto, H. F.)

* Writer correspondence

resulting in inefficient production flow and increased operational costs.

Table 1
Production Data July 2025 – September 2025

Period	Demand (pairs)	Actual Production (pairs)	Remarks
Jul-25	3200	3200	Fulfilled
Aug-25	3260	3120	Unfulfilled
Sep-25	3000	2950	Unfulfilled
Oct-25	3000	3000	Fulfilled
Dec-25	2970	2970	Fulfilled
Dec-25	3150	3100	Unfulfilled

Based on production data from July to December 2025, the company experienced discrepancies between production demand and production realization during several periods. In addition, certain production sections had relatively high overtime levels, particularly in the sewing and sole installation sections. This condition indicates excessive workload in several production areas, where normal working hours are insufficient to achieve the production targets set by the company. Workload imbalance can reduce labor productivity, increase worker fatigue, and decrease overall production efficiency.

The inability to consistently meet production targets indicates that the current workforce allocation system has not been fully optimized. Excessive overtime practices may temporarily help achieve production output; however, prolonged overtime can negatively affect worker health, concentration, and production quality. Furthermore, workload imbalance among production sections may create production bottlenecks that disrupt the continuity of the manufacturing process. Therefore, identifying the actual workload level in each production section becomes essential for improving operational performance.

In addition, the distribution of workers in each production section at UD. Hardi Jaya is currently still based on company experience and production habits without a standardized workload measurement system. The company employs 15 production workers consisting of 1 cutting worker, 6 sewing workers, 4 manual workers, 2 sole installation workers, and 2 packing workers. The difference in the number of workers in each section indicates that each production stage has different workload characteristics and working time requirements. However, the current workforce allocation has not yet been evaluated based on actual workload conditions, which may result in workload imbalance among workers. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze whether the existing number of workers is proportional to the workload in each production section.

Table 2
Departmental Data and Workforce Distribution

No	Production Section	Number of Working
1	Cutting	1
2	Sewing	6
3	Manual Work	4
4	Sole Instalation	2
5	Packing	2
Total		15

The current workforce determination approach at UD. Hardi Jaya reflects a common problem faced by many SMEs, where labor allocation decisions are often based on managerial intuition rather than quantitative analysis. Although experience-based decision-making may be practical in daily operations, it does not provide objective information regarding worker utilization levels, standard working time, and actual labor requirements. As a result, the company may experience either labor shortages or labor inefficiencies in several production sections.

Currently, workforce determination at UD. Hardi Jaya is still based on company experience and habitual estimation without standardized work measurement. As a result, the company cannot accurately determine whether the existing number of workers matches the actual workload requirements in each production process. Therefore, a method is needed to systematically analyze worker workload to determine the optimal workforce requirement.

Several previous studies have shown that workload analysis can be effectively used to identify workload imbalance and determine optimal labor requirements in manufacturing industries. Workload measurement based on working time analysis provides quantitative information regarding operator capacity, standard time, and workforce utilization levels. By implementing a systematic workload analysis method, companies can improve production efficiency, reduce unnecessary overtime, and optimize workforce planning.

One method that can be used to analyze workforce requirements is the Workload Analysis (WLA) method. WLA is used to measure workload levels based on the working time required to complete a particular activity. Through this method, companies can identify workforce utilization levels and determine the appropriate number of workers according to work capacity and production targets. The implementation of WLA is expected to help the company create a more balanced work distribution, improve work efficiency, and reduce excessive workloads in certain sections [2].

The Workload Analysis (WLA) method is widely used in industrial engineering studies because it can evaluate labor workload quantitatively through the calculation of cycle time, normal time, standard time, and allowance factors. In addition, WLA can provide recommendations regarding the optimal number of workers needed to achieve production targets under normal working conditions. This method is considered suitable for labor-intensive industries such as shoe manufacturing, where production activities heavily depend on manual operations and workforce performance. Based on the identified problems, this study aims to analyze worker workload and determine the optimal number of workers in each production section at UD. Hardi Jaya using the Workload Analysis (WLA) method. The results of this study are expected to provide recommendations for improving workforce allocation, balancing workload distribution, reducing excessive overtime, and enhancing production efficiency within the company.

2. Research methods

This research was conducted at UD. Hardi Jaya, a small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) engaged in shoe manufacturing in Mojokerto, Indonesia. The object of this study focuses on production workers involved in the shoe

manufacturing process, including the cutting, sewing, manual work, sole installation, and packing sections. This study aims to analyze worker workload and determine the optimal number of workers using the Workload Analysis (WLA) method.

The object of this study focuses on production workers involved directly in the shoe manufacturing process. This research aims to analyze the workload of workers in each production section and determine the optimal number of workers using the Workload Analysis (WLA) method. Through workload measurement based on working time, the study is expected to identify workload imbalances and provide recommendations regarding workforce requirements in order to improve productivity and production efficiency [3].




Figure 1 Research Stages

The research flowchart illustrates the research stages carried out systematically, starting from problem identification and literature study, followed by data collection through observation, interviews, documentation, and work time measurement using a stopwatch. The observed data were then tested using data uniformity and data adequacy tests to ensure that the data were feasible for analysis. After the data were declared adequate and uniform, cycle time, normal time, and standard time calculations were performed, followed by workload analysis using the Workload Analysis (WLA)

method to determine the optimal workforce requirements in each production section, resulting in research conclusions and recommendations.

2.1 Problem Identification

Based on observations of the production process at UD. Hardi Jaya, several problems related to workload management were identified, particularly in terms of the absence of a systematic and standardized workload measurement approach. Currently, the determination of the number of workers in each production section is carried out

based on experience and managerial judgment rather than on quantitative analysis. This condition indicates that the company has not yet utilized work measurement techniques, such as standard time determination, which are essential for evaluating labor productivity and capacity in a manufacturing system [4]. In addition, the production system at UD. Hardi Jaya operates under a job order system, where production volumes fluctuate depending on customer demand. This dynamic condition requires flexible and well-planned workforce allocation. However, in practice, the company has not adjusted the number of workers based on actual workload requirements. As a result, there is a mismatch between workload and workforce capacity, which affects overall production performance.

Furthermore, an imbalance of workload among production sections was observed. Certain sections, particularly stitching and sole assembly, experience significantly higher workloads compared to other sections. This is evidenced by the high number of overtime hours recorded in these sections. On the other hand, some sections, such as cutting and packing, tend to have lower levels of workload, indicating underutilization of labor. This imbalance reflects an inefficient distribution of tasks and may lead to bottlenecks in the production flow [5].

The absence of standard time calculation also prevents the company from quantitatively evaluating worker performance and workload levels. Without standard time, it is difficult to determine whether a worker is operating under normal, underload, or overload conditions [6]. According to previous studies, workload analysis plays a crucial role in identifying the level of labor utilization and in determining the optimal number of workers required for each workstation. Moreover, the company has not incorporated allowance factors into its work system. Allowances, which include personal needs, fatigue, and unavoidable delays, are essential components in determining realistic working time. Without considering these factors, the calculated working time may not accurately reflect actual working conditions. This can lead to excessive workload in certain sections and inefficiencies such as idle time in others.

In addition to workload imbalance, the lack of proper workload analysis also impacts production performance. The company has experienced difficulties in meeting production targets in several periods, which indicates that the existing workforce capacity is not aligned with production demands. This condition further emphasizes the need for a structured approach to workload measurement.

2.2 Data Collection

The data used in this study consist of primary and secondary data collected to support workload analysis. Primary

data were obtained through direct observation and stopwatch time study conducted on the production floor. Direct observation was carried out to understand the workflow and identify work elements at each production stage, including cutting, stitching, manual work, sole assembly, and packing. Meanwhile, the time study was conducted by measuring the time required by workers to complete each task repeatedly in order to obtain accurate and representative working time data.

In addition, interviews were conducted with workers and supervisors to gather qualitative information related to work procedures, job distribution, and factors affecting work performance. This method was also used to validate the results of observation and time measurement, ensuring that the collected data reflect actual working conditions.

Secondary data were obtained through company documentation, including the number of workers, working hours, production output, and overtime data. These data were used to support the calculation of effective working time and workforce requirements. The combination of these data collection techniques ensures that the data are valid and reliable, providing a strong foundation for workload analysis using the Workload Analysis (WLA) method.

2.3 Data Processing (Work Load Analysis)

The data processing in this study was carried out using the Work Load Analysis (WLA) method to determine the workload and the optimal number of workers required in each production stage. The data obtained from work time measurements were processed systematically, starting with data validation through uniformity and adequacy tests to ensure reliability. The validated data were then used to calculate cycle time, normal time using performance rating, and standard time by incorporating allowance factors. Furthermore, the standard time was used to estimate the total production time, which was compared with the effective working time to determine the required number of workers and workload percentage as a basis for evaluating labor efficiency [2].

1. Cycle Time Calculation

The cycle time represents the average time required to complete one work cycle, obtained from repeated observations. This value serves as the basis for determining normal time and standard time.

$$W_s = \frac{\sum xi}{n}$$

Where:

Ws = Average cycle time

Xi = Observation time (i-th)

n = Number of observations

2. Data Uniformity Test

The data uniformity test is conducted to ensure that the observed time data are within acceptable control limits (homogeneous). This test involves calculating the mean, standard deviation, and control limits, namely the Upper Control Limit (UCL) and Lower Control Limit (LCL).

a. Mean

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum xi}{n}$$

b. Standart Deviation

$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (xi - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

c. Control Limits

$$UCL = \bar{x} + k.SD$$

$$LCL = \bar{x} - k.SD$$

Where:

$\bar{x}$ = Mean of observations

SD = Standard deviation

k = Confidence level constant

3. Data Adequacy Test

$$N' = \left(\frac{k \sqrt{N \sum (xi^2) - (\sum x)^2}}{\sum x} \right)^2$$

Where:

N' = Required number of observations

N = Number of observations conducted

X = Observation time

K = Confidence level

S = Degree of accuracy

4. Normal Time Calculation

Normal time is obtained by adjusting the cycle time using a performance rating factor, which reflects the operator's working performance under normal conditions.

$$W_n = W_s \times PR$$

Where:

Wn = Normal time

Ws = Cycle time

PR = Performance rating

5. Standard Time Calculation

Standard time is calculated by incorporating an allowance factor into the normal time. The allowance accounts for personal needs, fatigue, and unavoidable delays.

$$W_b = W_n \times (1 + \text{Allowance})$$

Where:

Wb = Standard time

Wn = Normal time

Allowance = Allowance factor

6. Total Production Time Requirement

The total production time is calculated to determine the total time required to meet the production target within a certain period.

$$TW = W_b \times \text{Output}$$

Where:

TW = Total production time

Wb = Standard time

Output = Production quantity

7. Perfomance Rating

Performance rating is used to assess the operator's level of performance in completing a job compared to normal conditions.

Table 3

Performance Rating

SKILL			EFFORT		
0,15	A1	Super Skill	0,13	A1	Excessive
0,13	A2		0,12	A2	
0,11	B1	Excellent	0,1	B1	Excellent
0,08	B2		0,08	B2	
0,06	C1	Good	0,05	C1	Good
0,03	C2		0,02	C2	
0,00	D	Average	0,00	D	Average
-0,05	E1	Fair	-0,04	E1	Fair
-0,1	E2		-0,08	E2	

-0,16	F1	Poor	-0,12	F1	Poor
-0,22	F2		-0,17	F2	
CONDITION			CONSISTENCY		
+0.06	A	Ideal	+0.04	A	Perfect
+0.04	B	Excellent	+0.03	B	Excellent
+0.02	C	Good	+0.01	C	Good
0.00	D	Average	0.00	D	Average
-0.03	E	Fair	-0.02	E	Fair
-0.07	F	Poor	-0.04	F	Poor

1. Results and Discussion

3.1 Cycle Time Measurement

Cycle time data in this study was obtained through 30 direct observations of each work element in the production process. The 30 repetitions were conducted to ensure that the data collected is more accurate, stable, and representative of actual working conditions in the field. All observation results were then averaged to obtain the standard cycle time, which is used in the Work Load Analysis (WLA) calculation. This data serves as the main basis for determining the operator's workload level as well as evaluating labor requirements in the production process.

Table 4
Time Data

No	Worker	Total Time	Average Time
1	Cutting 1	20787	693
2	Sewing 1	5425	181
3	Sewing 2	7373	246
4	Sewing 3	7334	244
5	Sewing 4	5495	183
6	Sewing 5	5477	183
7	Sewing 6	3670	122
8	Manual Work 1	4480	149
9	Manual Work 2	4542	151
10	Manual Work 3	3606	120
11	Manual Work 4	3595	120
12	Sole Instalation 1	10280	343
13	Sole Instalation 2	10252	342
14	Packing 1	6005	200
15	Packing 2	6076	200

After 30 observations were conducted for each work element, the total cycle time from all measurements was obtained. Subsequently, the average cycle time was calculated by dividing the total time by the number of observations, resulting in a value that is more representative of actual working conditions. This average value is then used as the basis in the Work Load Analysis (WLA) to calculate the operator's workload [7].

3.2 Data Uniformity Test

The data uniformity test was conducted to ensure that the observed data are consistent and do not contain significant deviations. This test aims to determine whether the collected work time data originates from a stable process condition, making it suitable for further analysis [8]. Through the data uniformity test, any potential outliers or inconsistent data caused by certain factors during the observation process can be identified. The results of this test serve as a basis for ensuring data reliability before being used in the Work Load Analysis (WLA) calculation.

Table 5
Data Consistency Test

No	Proces	$\bar{x}$	σ	S	CL	k	BKA	BKB
1	Cutting 1	692,90	18,78	2,7%	97,3%	3	749,24	636,56
2	Sewing 1	180,83	4,38	2,4%	97,6%	3	193,97	167,70
3	Sewing 2	245,77	4,08	1,7%	98,3%	3	258,01	233,52
4	Sewing 3	244,47	4,44	1,8%	98,2%	3	257,78	231,15
5	Sewing 4	183,17	3,59	2,0%	98,0%	3	193,94	172,39
6	Sewing 5	182,57	4,55	2,5%	97,5%	3	196,23	168,91
7	Sewing 6	122,33	2,99	2,4%	97,6%	3	131,29	113,37
8	Manual Work 1	149,33	7,81	5,2%	94,8%	2	164,94	133,72
9	Manual Work 2	151,40	6,34	4,2%	95,8%	3	170,42	132,38
10	Manual Work 3	120,20	8,21	6,8%	93,2%	2	136,61	103,79
11	Manual Work 4	119,83	6,64	5,5%	94,5%	2	133,11	106,56
12	Sole Instalation 1	342,67	11,58	3,4%	96,6%	3	377,40	307,94
13	Instalation 2	341,73	9,60	2,8%	97,2%	3	370,54	312,93
14	Packing 1	200,17	1,34	0,7%	99,3%	3	204,19	196,14
15	Packing 2	202,53	2,11	1,0%	99,0%	3	208,87	196,19

Based on the data processing results presented above, a statistical evaluation of 15 work elements was conducted to ensure data validity through consistency and adequacy testing. The calculation results indicate that all observed cycle times fall within the Upper Control Limit (UCL) and Lower Control Limit (LCL), with high Confidence Levels (CL) ranging from 93.2% to 99.3%. The controlled standard deviation (σ) and precision values (S), which mostly remain below the significant threshold, demonstrate that the collected data is uniform and representative of actual field conditions. Consequently, the data is declared statistically sufficient and valid for use in the subsequent stages of standard time calculation and production capacity planning.

3.3 Data Adequacy Test

The data adequacy test is conducted to determine whether the number of observations collected is sufficient to represent the actual working conditions with an acceptable level of accuracy. This test ensures that the data used in the analysis meets the required confidence level and precision. By performing the data adequacy test, it can be evaluated whether additional observations are needed or if the existing data is adequate for further analysis.

Table 5
Data Adequacy Test

No	Proces	N'	N
1	Cutting 1	2,56	30
2	Sewing 1	2,04	30
3	Sewing 2	0,96	30
4	Sewing 3	1,15	30
5	Sewing 4	1,34	30
6	Sewing 5	2,17	30
7	Sewing 6	2,07	30
8	Manual Work 1	4,23	30
9	Manual Work 2	6,10	30
10	Manual Work 3	7,21	30
11	Manual Work 4	4,75	30
12	Sole Instalation 1	3,97	30
13	Sole Instalation 2	2,75	30
14	Packing 1	0,16	30
15	Packing 2	0,38	30

The table presents the results of the data adequacy test for 15 production process elements, where the statistical analysis confirms that all collected data are sufficient. This is evidenced by the fact that the minimum required number of observations (N') for every process ranging from cutting to packing is consistently lower than the actual number of observations conducted (N = 30). Since the criteria $N' < N$ is satisfied across all elements, the recorded time data is considered statistically representative and valid, allowing the study to proceed to the standard time calculation phase without the need for additional sampling.

2.7 Performance Rating Determination

Performance rating is an adjustment factor used to assess the operator's level of performance in completing a task compared to normal working conditions. This evaluation is based on several aspects, namely skill, effort, working conditions, and consistency. Each aspect is assigned a value based on field observations, which are then summed to obtain the performance adjustment value [3].

Table 6
Performance Rating Determination

No	Proces	Skill	Effort	Condition	Consistency	Total
1	Cutting 1	+0.08	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,16
2	Sewing 1	+0.06	+0.05	+0.00	+0.01	0,12
3	Sewing 2	+0.08	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,16
4	Sewing 3	+0.06	+0.08	+0.00	+0.01	0,15
5	Sewing 4	+0.06	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,14
6	Sewing 5	+0.08	+0.05	+0.00	+0.01	0,14
7	Sewing 6	+0.06	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,14
8	Manual Work 1	+0.06	+0.05	+0.00	+0.01	0,12
9	Manual Work 2	+0.08	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,16
10	Manual Work 3	+0.06	+0.08	+0.00	+0.01	0,15
11	Manual Work 4	+0.06	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,14
12	Sole Instalation 1	+0.08	+0.08	+0.02	+0.01	0,19
13	Sole Instalation 2	+0.06	+0.05	+0.02	+0.01	0,14
14	Packing 1	+0.06	+0.02	+0.02	+0.01	0,11
15	Packing 2	+0.08	+0.05	+0.00	+0.01	0,14

The performance rating for the operators was evaluated using the Westinghouse system, which assesses four key factors: skill, effort, conditions, and consistency. Based on the summary of all process elements, the total adjustment values range from +0.10 to +0.12, resulting in a calculated performance rating factor (P) between 1.10 and 1.12. These figures indicate that the operators performed above the normal average (super-average), with skill and effort factors contributing most significantly to overall work effectiveness. Assigning a rating factor greater than 1.00 serves to normalize the average cycle time into normal time, ensuring a fair and objective measurement for high-performing operators.

3.5 Allowance

Allowance is an additional factor in work time calculations to account for personal needs, fatigue, and unavoidable delays. It ensures that the standard time is more realistic and reflects actual working conditions [1].

Table 5
Allowance

No	Proces	Personal	Fatigue	Delay	Total (minutes)	Allowance (%)
1	Cutting 1	4	5	6	15	3,10%
2	Sewing 1	3	5	6	14	2,90%
3	Sewing 2	4	6	6	16	3,30%
4	Sewing 3	4	6	7	17	3,50%
5	Sewing 4	3	5	6	14	2,90%
6	Sewing 5	4	6	6	16	3,30%
7	Sewing 6	3	5	6	14	2,90%
8	Manual Work 1	3	5	6	14	2,90%
9	Manual Work 2	4	5	6	15	3,10%
10	Manual Work 3	3	5	6	14	2,90%
11	Manual Work 4	4	5	6	15	3,10%
12	Sole Instalation 1	4	6	7	17	3,50%
13	Sole Instalation 2	4	6	6	16	3,30%
14	Packing 1	3	4	5	12	2,50%
15	Packing 2	3	4	6	13	2,70%

The allowance values presented in the table indicate the amount of allowance given to each operator based on the observed working conditions. These values are then used in the calculation of standard time to ensure that the resulting work time is more realistic and applicable to actual working conditions.

3.7 Workload Calculation

Workload Analysis is conducted to evaluate operator efficiency by comparing required versus available working time. Based on the results in Table 6, the workload is calculated by multiplying the productive percentage, performance rating, and allowance factor. This identifies whether task distribution is normal, excessive, or insufficient, allowing for the detection of labor imbalances and utilization inefficiencies.

Table 6
Workload Calculation

No	Process	Productivity (%)	Performance Rating	Allowance (%)	Workload (%)
1	Cutting 1	86,00%	0,16	3,10%	102,85%
2	Sewing 1	83,00%	0,12	2,90%	95,66%
3	Sewing 2	83,00%	0,16	3,30%	99,46%
4	Sewing 3	86,00%	0,15	3,50%	102,36%
5	Sewing 4	80,00%	0,14	2,90%	93,84%
6	Sewing 5	83,00%	0,14	3,30%	97,74%
7	Sewing 6	80,00%	0,14	2,90%	93,84%

8	Manual Work 1	83,00%	0,12	2,90%	95,66%
9	Manual Work 2	86,00%	0,16	3,10%	102,85%
10	Manual Work 3	86,00%	0,15	2,90%	101,77%
11	Manual Work 4	80,00%	0,14	3,10%	94,03%
12	Sole Installation 1	93,00%	0,19	3,50%	114,54%
13	Sole Installation 2	93,00%	0,14	3,30%	109,52%
14	Packing 1	86,00%	0,11	2,50%	97,85%
15	Packing 2	86,00%	0,14	2,70%	100,69%

16

The results of the workload calculation in the table show that the workload values range from 93.84% to 114.54%. Several operators have values above 100%, such as Cutting 1 (102.85%), Sewing 3 (102.36%), Manual Work 2 (102.85%), Manual Work 3 (101.77%), Sole Installation 1 (114.54%), Sole Installation 2 (109.52%), and Packing 2 (100.69%), indicating overload conditions. Meanwhile, some operators are below 100%, such as Sewing 4 (93.84%), Sewing 6 (93.84%), and Manual Work 4 (94.03%), indicating underload conditions. Overall, most workload values fall within the range of 95%–102%, suggesting that the workload is relatively close to optimal conditions.

3.8 Workforce Planning Results

20

This section presents the workforce planning results based on workload analysis for each element of the production process. The calculation is conducted using standard time as the main basis, with the bottleneck in the sole attachment process recorded at 422.56 seconds, resulting in a standard output of 9 pairs per hour or 68 pairs per day. This output remains below the production target of 102 pairs per day, indicating a chronic overtime condition within the work system. By applying the WLA-based workforce calculation across 15 work elements, using a monthly demand of 3,067 pairs and 748,800 seconds of available productive time per month, the total optimal workforce requirement is determined to be 19 workers. Table 7 presents the detailed workforce requirement calculation for each work element.

Table 7
Allowance

No	Proces	Standart Output/hr	Standart Output/day	Workers needed
1	Cutting 1	32	252	0,47
2	Sewing 1	18	138	0,86
3	Sewing 2	13	98	1,22
4	Sewing 3	13	99	1,20
5	Sewing 4	17	134	0,89
6	Sewing 5	17	134	0,89
7	Sewing 6	26	201	0,59
8	Manual Work 1	21	167	0,71
9	Manual Work 2	20	159	0,75
10	Manual Work 3	26	202	0,59
11	Manual Work 4	26	204	0,58
12	Sole Instalation 1	9	68	1,75
13	Sole Instalation 2	9	71	1,67
14	Packing 1	16	126	0,94
15	Packing 2	16	123	0,97
Total				19

The calculation results reveal significant variations in standard hourly output across departments. The highest capacity is observed in the Cutting 1 process (32 units/hr) and several Manual Work stages (26 units/hr). Conversely, the lowest capacity, identifying them as production bottlenecks, is found in Sole Installation 1 and 2, which produce only 9 units per hour. This indicates that the sole installation process is the most labor-intensive and time-consuming stage within the production line.

3.9 Capacity and Cost Planning

The production process at UD. Hardi Jaya employs 15 workers across several divisions. This serves as the basis for capacity and cost planning, where the daily wage of Rp.70.000 (Rp.8.750/hour) is used to calculate the monthly labor cost. This planning is essential to ensure that the workforce allocation aligns with production targets efficiently [9].

Daily labor cost : Rp. 70.000 = Rp. 8.750

Monthly cost per person

: Rp. 70.000 × 6 = Rp. 420.000/week

: Rp. 70.000 × 26 = Rp. Rp. 1.820.000 /month

: Rp. Rp1.820.000 × 15 = Rp. 27.300.000

a. Calculations using Workload Analysis (WLA)

The calculation using Workload Analysis (WLA) indicates a requirement of 19 workers. Consequently, UD. Hardi Jaya must incur a total labor cost of $\text{Rp}1.820.000 \times 19 = \text{Rp}34.580.000$ per month, or $\text{Rp}34.580.000 \times 6 = \text{Rp}207.480.000$ for a 6-month period.

b. Overtime Cost Calculation

The calculation involves 15 workers with additional overtime hours. The overtime rate is set at

Rp.8.750 per hour, with a standard working time of 208 hours per month.

Table 8
Overtime Hours Calculation

Period	Overtime Hours	Overtime Cost (Rp. 10.750)	Number of Workers	Total Cost
July	12	Rp129.000	15	Rp1.935.000
August	24	Rp258.000	15	Rp3.870.000
September	16	Rp172.000	15	Rp2.580.000
October	10	Rp107.500	15	Rp1.612.500
November	8	Rp86.000	15	Rp1.290.000
December	20	Rp215.000	15	Rp3.225.000
Total				Rp14.512.500

Based on the capacity planning for a workforce of 15 employees, the total operational cost incurred by UD. Surya Sejati includes both basic wages and overtime compensation. The calculation for a 6-month period results in a total basic labor cost of Rp163.800.000. When combined with the accumulated overtime cost of Rp.14.512.500, the overall expenditure for the 6-month duration reaches Rp178.312.500. This figure represents the total financial commitment required to maintain production stability using the existing workforce under the specified overtime scenario [9].

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of the workload analysis and workforce determination at UD. Hardi Jaya, it can be concluded that the implementation of the Work Load Analysis (WLA) method successfully identified workload imbalances among several production sections. The analysis showed that several operators experienced overload conditions, particularly in the sole installation section, which became the main production bottleneck with an output capacity of only 9 pairs per hour or 68 pairs per day. This condition indicates that the available working time in several production processes is insufficient to meet production targets under normal working hours, resulting in overtime activities in order to maintain production continuity.

The calculation of standard time and workforce requirements indicates that the company ideally requires 19 workers to achieve the monthly production target of 3,067 pairs optimally. However, the current workforce at UD. Hardi Jaya consists of only 15 workers distributed across the cutting, sewing, manual work, sole installation, and packing sections. Several workload values obtained from the WLA calculation exceeded 100%, such as Sole Installation 1 at 114.54%, Sole Installation 2 at 109.52%, Cutting 1 at 102.85%, and Manual Work 2 at 102.85%, indicating excessive workload conditions that may affect worker productivity and increase fatigue levels if maintained continuously.

Based on the labor capacity and cost analysis, if the company follows the WLA recommendation by increasing the workforce from 15 workers to 19 workers, the company would incur a total labor cost of Rp34.580.000 per month or Rp207.480.000 for a 6-month period. On the other hand, maintaining the current 15 workers while implementing an overtime system would result in a total operational cost of Rp178.312.500 over a 6-month period, consisting of Rp163.800.000 in labor costs and Rp14.512.500 in overtime

expenses. Although overtime increases worker working hours, this alternative is considered more economical and realistic for the company compared to recruiting additional workers, especially considering the fluctuating production demand under the job order production system applied by the company. Therefore, from both operational and economic perspectives, it is recommended that UD. Hardi Jaya maintain the current workforce of 15 workers while optimizing overtime scheduling in sections experiencing overload conditions, particularly in the sole installation process. In addition, the company is advised to improve work distribution, monitor worker fatigue levels, and periodically evaluate workload conditions to maintain productivity and operational efficiency. The implementation of the Work Load Analysis (WLA) method in this study has proven effective in providing a quantitative basis for workforce planning, workload balancing, and production capacity management within the company. Reference

- [1] W. Hermanto, "Analisis Beban Kerja Dengan Metode Workload Analysis (WLA) Dalam Menentukan Jumlah Tenaga Kerja Optimal Di PT INDOJT," Vol. 19, Pp. 247-256, 2020.
- [2] V. A. P. Handy Febri Satoto, "Analisa Beban Kerja Dan Studi Waktu Kerja Guna Optimalisasi Proses Produksi(Studi Kasus CV. XYZ)," Vol. Vol. 12 No. 1, No. 2354-6751, Pp. 169-175, 2025.
- [3] F. H. S. H. Sobariansyah Putra, "ANALISIS BEBAN KERJA MENGGUNAKAN METODE WORKLOAD ANALYSIS DALAM PENENTUAN JUMLAH TENAGA KERJA YANG OPTIMAL DI CV. JAYA PERKASA TEKNIK, KOTA PASURUAN," *Jurnal Valtech (Jurnal Mahasiswa Teknik Industr*, Vol. Vol. 3 No.2 (2020), 2020.
- [4] D. A. M. A. Handy Febri Satoto, "ANALISIS WAKTU STANDAR DALAM PENENTUAN JUMLAH TENAGA KERJA PADA PRODUKSI CAGE WHEEL DENGAN METODE STOPWATCH TIME STUDY (STUDY KASUS: CV. LANCAR JAYA," *Jurnal Taguchi: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Dan Manajemen Industri*, Vol. Vol. 3, Pp. 371-380, 2023.
- [5] A. Much. Djunaidi, "ANALISIS KESEIMBANGAN LINTASAN (LINE BALANCING) PADA PROSES PERAKITAN BODY BUS PADA KAROSERI GUNA MENINGKATKAN," *Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Industri* , Vol. Vol. 5, P. 77 – 84 , 2017.
- [6] Y. A. N. Handy Febri Satoto, "Optimasi Waktu Standar Kerja Menggunakan Metode Stopwatch Time Study," *Jurnal Manajemen Teknologi Dan Teknik Industri*, Vol. Vol. 5, No. 2622-1004, P. 59 – 68, 2023.
- [7] R. S. Ahmad Faizal Rifa'i, "ANALISA BEBAN KERJA PADA OPERATOR MESIN MOULDING DENGAN METODE WORKLOAD DI PT. INKATAMA WANCHENG INDONESIA," *Jurnal Media Teknologi*, Vol. Vol. 12No. 02, 2026.
- [8] N. Y. D. M. M. Predi Hartono Sihombing, "Engukuran Waktu Baku Pada Proses Assembly Panel Interior Menggunakan Metode Jam Henti Di PT. X".

- [9] H. M. Rizky Andrian Firnanda, "PENENTUAN JUMLAH TENAGA KERJA PADA UD SURYA SEJATI DENGAN METODE WORKLOAD ANALYSIS (WLA)," *Jurnal Taguchi: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Dan Manajemen Industri* , Vols. Vol. 3, No. 2, 2023.
- [10] N. Y. M. M. Predi Hartono Sihombing, "Pengukuran Waktu Baku Pada Proses Assembly Panel Interior Menggunakan Metode Jam Henti Di PT. X," 2025.