

The Effect of the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH) on the Human Development Index (HDI) in Surabaya City- 4.docx

Submission date: 16-Jan-2026 08:46PM (UTC+0900) *by turnitin no repository*

Submission ID: 2857808383

File name:

The_Effect_of_the_General_Allocation_Fund_DAU_Special_Allocation_Fund_DAK_and_Revenue_Sharing_Fund_DBH_on_the_Human_Development_Index_HDI_in_Surabaya_C
4.docx (3.16M)

Word count: 3255

Character count: 19438



The Effect of the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH) on the Human Development Index (HDI) in Surabaya City

Muhammad Syahrul Maulana ^{1*}, Joko Priyono ²

Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya

*Correspondence: Muhammad Syahrul Maulana
Email: m.ssyahrul@gmail.com

Received: date
Accepted: date
Published: date



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: The purpose of this study is to examine how Surabaya City's Human Development Index (HDI) is affected by the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH) between 2010 and 2024. Quantitative multiple linear regression analysis was conducted using secondary time-series data from official government sources. The results demonstrate that the Human Development Index is greatly influenced by DAU, DAK, and DBH all at the same time. Due in part to its focused distribution to fundamental public service areas, including health and education, the Special distribution Fund has the greatest and most substantial effect. By boosting the total regional financial ability to support the provision of public services, the General Allocation Fund also has a favorable and noteworthy effect. Conversely, the Human Development Index is positively but statistically insignificantly

impacted by the Revenue Sharing Fund. These results imply that more focused, efficient, and fair administration of intergovernmental transfer payments is necessary to improve human development outcomes in Surabaya City, with a greater focus on raising the standard of fundamental public services. **Keywords:** General Allocation Fund, Special Allocation Fund, Revenue Sharing Fund, Humand Development Index, Surabaya

Introduction

Human development has become a key component of national development strategy as the Human Development Index (HDI) offers a thorough assessment of living circumstances, health, and education (UNDP, 2024; Todaro & Smith, 2015). Surabaya, one of Indonesia's biggest cities, has a relatively high HDI in recent years and has dynamic socioeconomic features (Badan Pusat Statistik Kota Surabaya, 2025). However, despite this success, inequalities in the accessibility and quality of public services continue to be a problem, especially in core areas pertaining to essential human needs. How well local governments handle intergovernmental fiscal transfers— in particular, the Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH), the Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and the General Allocation Fund (DAU),

which are meant to reduce vertical and horizontal fiscal imbalances—directly affects their capacity to enhance human development outcomes within the framework of fiscal decentralization (Oates, 1972; Musgrave & Musgrave, 1959; Mardiasmo, 2009).

According to current empirical research, DAU, DAK, and DBH may have an impact on HDI by increasing public spending on infrastructure, health care, and education; however, these effects vary by place in terms of their magnitude and significance. While some studies report partial or negligible effects based on regional characteristics, fiscal capacity, and spending efficiency (Damayanti, 2014; Saswatata & Parju, 2022; Ina & Hudang, 2024), others find that all or some components of intergovernmental transfers significantly contribute to HDI improvement (Harahap, 2011; Lestari et al., 2019; Sarkoro & Zulfikar, 2015; Widia Sofyan, 2023). The majority of this research utilizes cross-regional panel data, concentrates on areas with medium HDI levels, and looks at times before the COVID-19 epidemic, when fiscal policies and transfer mechanisms were very different from what they are today. As a result, there is still a dearth of empirical data that particularly addresses big cities with extremely high HDI levels, like Surabaya, and takes the post-pandemic fiscal situation into account.

In order to close this gap, this study provides a thorough analysis of DAU, DAK, and DBH's contributions to the development of Surabaya City's Human Development Index using a more recent and prolonged observation period. This study is interesting since it focuses on a high-HDI metropolitan city and examines the efficiency of intergovernmental transfers in the post-pandemic budgetary context, a topic that has received little attention in previous research. The purpose of this study is to evaluate how DAU, DAK, and DBH affect Surabaya City's HDI and identify the type of fiscal transfer that is most crucial for improving the city's residents' quality of life.

Research Method

Research Design

In order to investigate the causal link between intergovernmental fiscal transfers and human development outcomes in Surabaya City, this study used a quantitative technique with an explanatory research design. Using numerical time-series data and an explanatory methodology, the impacts of the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH) of the Human Development Index (HDI) were evaluated. Through statistical modeling, this approach makes it possible to identify the independent factors' partial and simultaneous impacts on the variable that is dependant.

Population, Sample, Sampling

The Human Development Index (HDI), Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and General Allocation Fund (DAU) were evaluated utilizing numerical time-series data and the explanatory design. Purposive sampling was employed to choose the study sample, which was centered on a time-series dataset spanning the years 2010 through 2024. The availability, consistency, and completeness of official data records

were taken into consideration while choosing this time frame. Since every observation satisfied the inclusion requirements of being formally published and regularly reported, no data points from the chosen time were eliminated. Secondary data was gathered via documentation methods from the Regional Financial Information System (SIKD) and Surabaya City's Central Statistics Bureau (BPS/Badan Pusat Statistik).

This study did not require ethical clearance or informed permission because it only used secondary aggregate data at the city level and did not include human participants or personal data.

Instrument

A structured data recording sheet intended to methodically gather secondary data on HDI, DAU, DAK, and DBH served as the study's research tool. While DAU, DAK, and DBH variables are assessed using yearly realized fiscal transfer amounts derived from SIKD records, the HDI statistics are annual percentage values released by BPS. By cross-referencing data sources with official government publications and ensuring consistency across reporting periods, instrument validity was guaranteed. Because the data were created utilizing identical accounting practices and standardized measurement frameworks across years, reliability was achieved through temporal consistency. Meaningful statistical analysis was made possible by the ratio scale used to quantify each variable.

Data Analysis Method

The effects of DAU, DAK, and DBH on Surabaya City's Human Development Index were evaluated using multiple linear regression data analysis. The regression model was applied in order to assess the independent variables' individual (partial) and combined (simultaneous) impacts on HDI. The simultaneous significance of each independent variable was evaluated using the F-test, t-tests were used to look at the partial impacts of each fiscal transfer component, and the coefficient of determination (R^2) was used to gauge the model's explanatory capacity. To guarantee the validity and robustness of the results, all analytical techniques were carried out in compliance with accepted quantitative research and econometric standards.

Result and Discussion

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Table 1. Multiple Linear Regression

Coefficients ^a					
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	
1	(Constant) .867	.303		2.867	.015
	DAU .067	.020	.476	3.384	.006
	DAK .011	.004	.557	2.978	.013
	DBH .009	.013	.123	.716	.489

a. Dependent Variable: IPM

Using the estimation results displayed in Table 1, the following is how the multiple linear regression model is constructed:

$$\text{LOG_HDI} = 0.867 + 0.067\text{LOGDAU} + 0.011\text{LOGDAK} + 0.009\text{LOGDBH}$$

The regression coefficients may be understood as follows:

- The steady value of 0.867 represents starting point for the Human Development Index with the presumption that the General Allocation Fund, Special Allocation Fund, and Revenue Sharing Fund will remain unchanged.
- The General Allocation Fund's (DAU) regression coefficient of 0.067 shows a positive correlation. This suggests that the Human Development Index increases by 0.067 units in DAU for each unit increase.
- The regression coefficient for the Special Allocation Fund (DAK) is 0.011 also shows a favorable impact. The Human Development Index rises by 0.011 units for each unit increase in DAK.
- The Human Development Index benefits from the Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH), as seen by its regression coefficient of 0.009. For each DBH unit increment, there is a 0.009 unit gain in the Human Development Index.

Simultaneous Significance Test (F-Test)

Table 2. Simultaneous Test (F-Test)

ANOVA ^a					
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F
1	Regression	.002	3	.001	20.746
	Residual	.000	11	.000	
	Total	.002	14		

a. Dependent Variable: IPM

b. Predictors: (Constant), DBH, DAU, DAK

At a 0.000 significance level, Table 2 demonstrates that the computed F-value is less than 0.05, at 20.746. This result implies that the independent variables, the dependent variable is greatly impacted by the Revenue Sharing Fund, the General Allocation Fund, and the Special Allocation Fund, Surabaya City's Human Development Index. Consequently, the null hypothesis (H0) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted.

Partial Significance Test (t-Test)

Table 3. Partial Test (t-Test)

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	
1	(Constant)	.867		2.867	.015
	DAU	.067	.476	3.384	.006
	DAK	.011	.557	2.978	.013
	DBH	.009	.123	.716	.489

a. Dependent Variable: IPM

Based on Table 3, the following are the t-test results:

- The General Allocation Fund (X1) hypothesis test yields a t-statistic of 3.384 with a significance value of 0.006, which is less than 0.05. This suggests that the Human Development Index (Y) is somewhat positively and statistically significantly impacted by DAU (X1). As a result, H2 is approved and H0 is refused.
- The Special Allocation Fund (X2) hypothesis test results in a t-statistic of 2.978 with a significance value of 0.013, which is less than 0.05. This finding suggests that the Human Development Index (Y) is somewhat positively and statistically significantly impacted by DAK (X2). As a result, H3 is approved and H0 is refused.
- The Revenue Sharing Fund (X3) hypothesis test produces a significance value of 0.489 and a t-statistic of 0.716, both of which are more than 0.05. This indicates that although DBH (X3) has a positive direction of influence, The Human Development Index (Y) is not affected in a statistically meaningful manner. Consequently, the alternative hypothesis (H4) is rejected while the null hypothesis (H0) is accepted.

Coefficient of Determination Analysis (R²)

Table 4. Coefficient of Determination (R²)

Model Summary			
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square
1	.922 ^a	.850	.809

a. Predictors: (Constant), DBH, DAU, DAK

Eighty-five percent of the variance in the dependent variable may be explained by the independent variables of the General Allocation Fund (X1), Special Allocation Fund (X2), and Revenue Sharing Fund (X3). Table 4's R Square value of 0.850 indicates the Human Development Index (Y). This demonstrates that 85.0% of the variance in the Human Development Index's explanation is these three independent variables, with the remaining 15.0% coming from elements that the model does not include.

Discussion

The study's findings demonstrate that Surabaya City's human development results are significantly impacted by intergovernmental budgetary transfers. The simultaneous test's findings verify that the Human Development Index (HDI) is statistically significantly

impacted by the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH) taken combined. This outcome is consistent using the principle of fiscal decentralization, which highlights that federal payments are essential instruments for increasing local government ability to provide public services and improve social welfare (Oates, 1972; Musgrave & Musgrave, 1959; Mardiasmo, 2009).

The partial study indicates showed the HDI is positively and statistically significantly impacted by DAU. This result implies that DAU's flexibility, which gives local governments the freedom to distribute funds in accordance with regional objectives, successfully raises living standards, health care, and education. This outcome is in line with other empirical research that discovered a strong positive correlation between DAU and HDI in a number of Indonesian locations (Harahap, 2011; Lestari et al., 2019; Widia Sofyan, 2023). Theoretically, DAU helps local governments maintain necessary public expenditures that have a direct impact on human development metrics by raising fiscal capacity and lowering vertical fiscal imbalances (Bird & Vaillancourt, 1998; Jhingan, 2020).

Similarly, it is shown that HDI is positively and considerably impacted by the Special Allocation Fund (DAK). This finding suggests that, when well implemented, designated transfers aimed at certain sectors—such as health, education, and basic infrastructure—are successful in enhancing human development results. This result is consistent with research by Sarkoro and Zulfikar (2015), Saswatata and Parju (2022), and Ina and Hudang (2024) that highlights DAK's strategic importance in assisting sector-specific development initiatives. DAK seems to serve as a supplementary fiscal tool that improves the quality of public services rather than just increasing access in Surabaya, a metropolitan city with a very high HDI, especially in the post-pandemic era when targeted spending became more crucial.

In contrast, HDI is positively impacted by the Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH), however there is no statistically significant difference in this effect. This finding implies that, in comparison to DAU and DAK, DBH has a comparatively little influence on human development, although contributing to regional income. This result is in keeping with several previous studies that revealed small or insignificant effects of DBH on HDI, especially in areas with substantial fiscal capacity and diverse economic structures (Damayanti, 2014; Sulastri & Efendri, 2021; Siwabessy, 2024). The fact that DBH allocation is mostly based on tax-sharing and natural resource earnings, which may not be directly related to social sector spending, is one argument. In Surabaya's situation, DBH could be used for infrastructure projects or more general budgetary requirements that have long-term, indirect advantages for human development metrics.

Overall, DAU, DAK, and DBH together account for a significant amount of the variance in Surabaya's HDI, according to the high coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.850$). This result supports the claim that, even in cities with high HDI levels, fiscal transfers are still a major force behind human growth. Nonetheless, the varying effects of each kind of transfer emphasize how crucial efficient expenditure and allocation systems are. DBH seems less successful in this area, indicating the need for better alignment between revenue-sharing policies and human development goals, whereas DAU and DAK show great relevance for enhancing human development results.

By include a more recent, post-pandemic budgetary backdrop and actual data from a metropolitan city with a very high HDI level, our findings go beyond earlier studies. This analysis indicates that the efficiency of fiscal transfers varies among areas and depends on local features and governance ability, in contrast to many previous studies that concentrated on regions with medium HDI levels or utilized cross-sectional methodologies. As a result, this study adds to the body of knowledge by demonstrating the continued importance of fiscal decentralization policies and stressing the necessity of distinct approaches when using intergovernmental transfers to maintain and improve human development results.

Conclusion

The Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH), the General Allocation Fund (DAU) and Special Allocation Fund (DAK) have a statistically significant effect on Surabaya City's Index of Human Development (HDI) between 2010 and 2024, according to a significance value of 0.000 (< 0.05) and an F-statistic of 20.746. DAU having a relatively positive and substantial impact on HDI, a regression coefficient of 0.067, a t-value of 3.384, and a significance level of 0.006. The t-value is 2.978, the significance level is 0.013, and the regression coefficient is 0.011, DAK also exhibits the largest standardized influence ($\beta = 0.557$) among the independent variables. DBH, on the other hand, has a positive but statistically negligible influence on HDI having a t-value of 0.716 and a significance level of 0.489 and a regression coefficient of 0.009, indicating its very little contribution to human development outcomes in Surabaya City. Furthermore, the model shows DAU, DAK, and DBH account for 85.0% of the variance in HDI, with the remaining 15.0% being impacted by factors not included in the model, according to a coefficient of determination (R^2) of 0.850. In order to better capture institutional and governance factors influencing the efficacy of intergovernmental fiscal transfers on human development, it is advised that future research include additional variables such as regional original revenue, sectoral expenditure, poverty, and unemployment, apply panel data or dynamic econometric methods, and take into consideration qualitative or mixed-method approaches.

References

- Badan Pusat Statistik Kota Surabaya. (2025). *Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) Kota Surabaya Tahun 2020-2024 (Persen)*.
- Bird, R. M., & Vaillancourt, F. (1998). *Trade And Development A series of books on international economic relations and economic issues in development Academic editor*.
- Damayanti, M. I. (2014). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah (PAD), Dana Bagi Hasil (DBH), Dan Dana Alokasi Umum (DAU), dan Dana Alokasi Khusus (DAK) terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) Di Kabupaten Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Barat Periode Tahun 2009-2012*. 4.

- DEWI, A. T. (2022). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Dana Alokasi Umum, Dana Alokasi Khusus, Dana Bagi Hasil, Dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (Studi Kasus Pada Pemerintah Kabupaten/Kota Di Provinsi DI Yogyakarta)*.
- Faisal, Y., Yanti, L. P., & Novelita. (2024). Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Dana Alokasi Umum, Dana Alokasi Khusus dan Dana Bagi Hasil Terhadap Belanja Modal. *Jurnal Samudra Ekonomika*, 8(1), 402–412. <https://doi.org/10.33059/jse.v8i1.9526>
- Harahap, R. U. (2011). *Pengaruh dana alokasi umum, dana alokasi khusus, dan dana bagi hasil terhadap indeks pembangunan manusia pada kab./kota propinsi Sumatera Utara*.
- Ina, Y. T., & Hudang, A. K. (2024). Pengaruh Dana Bagi Hasil, Dana Alokasi Umum, dan Dana Alokasi Khusus terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia di Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Timur. *Jurnal Ilmiah Global Education*, 5(2), 1234–1245. <https://doi.org/10.55681/jige.v5i2.2718>
- Jhingan. (2020). *Economic of Development*.
- Lestari, P., Sarfiah, S. N., & Prasetyanto, P. K. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh DAU, DAK, Dan DBH Terhadap IPM di Kabupaten/Kota Eks Karesidenan Kedu Tahun 2012-2016. *DINAMIC: Directory Journal of Economic*, 1(1), 100–113. <https://jom.untidar.ac.id/index.php/dinamic/article/view/447>
- Maharditya, N., & Atwal Arifin, D. (2018). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah (Pad), Dana Alokasi Khusus (Dak), Dana Alokasi Umum (Dau), Dan Dana Bagi Hasil (Dbh) Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Dengan Pengalokasian Belanja Modal Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Empiris pada Pemerintah Kabupat*.
- Mankiw, N. G. (2021). *Principles of economics*.
- Mardiasmo, D. M., & MBA, A. (2009). *Akuntansi sektor publik: Yogyakarta: Andi*.
- Musgrave, R. A., & Musgrave, R. A. (1959). *The theory of public finance: A study in public economy* (Vol. 658). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Oates, W. E. (1972). *Fiscal Federalism*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. Polity IV Dataset <http://www.bsos.umd.edu/cidcm/inscr/polity>.
- Patadang, T. M., Rotinsulu, T. O., & Rorong, I. P. F. (2021). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah (PAD), Dana Bagi Hasil (DBH), Dana Alokasi Umum (DAU), Dan Dana Alokasi Khusus (DAK) Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) Minahasa Tenggara Dengan Pengeluaran Di Bidang Kesehatan Sebagai Variabel Intervening*.
- Portal Data SIKD. (2024). *SIKD*.
- Putra, P. G. M., & Ulupui, I. G. K. A. (2015). *Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Dana Alokasi Umum, Dana Alokasi Khusus, Untuk Meningkatkan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia*.
- Putri, P. dan. (2020). *Pengaruh DAU, DAK dan DBH Terhadap IPM*.

- Sarkoro, H., & Zulfikar, Z. (2015). *Dana alokasi khusus dan pendapatan asli daerah terhadap indeks pembangunan manusia (studi empiris pada pemerintah provinsi se-indonesia tahun 2012-2014)*.
- Saswatata, S. S., & Parju, P. (2022). Pengaruh PAD, DAU, DAN DAK Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Di Kota Semarang. *Serat Acitya*, 11(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.56444/sa.v11i1.2953>
- Siwabessy, G. P. (2024). *Pengaruh Dana Alokasi Umum, Dana Alokasi Khusus, Dana Bagi Hasil, Dan Dana Otonomi Khusus Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Pada Kabupaten/Provinsi Papua*.
- Sulastrri, E., & Efendri. (2021). Analisis pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah (PAD), Dana Alokasi Umum (DAU), Dana Alokasi Khusus (DAK) dan Dana Bagi Hasil (DBH) Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) (Kabupaten/Kota di Seluruh Provinsi Di Kalimantan Tahun Anggaran 2015-2019). *Keywords in Qualitative Methods*, 1–12. http://info.trilogi.ac.id/repository/assets/uploads/AKT/b06ca-jurnal_evi-sulastrri_17116021_final.pdf
- Syafira, L., Harefa, K., Hidayat, O. S., & Habibi, M. R. (2022). *Analysis of PAD, DAU, DAK, DBH and Capital Expend*DEWI, A. T. (2022). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Dana Alokasi Umum, Dana Alokasi Khusus, Dana Bagi Hasil, Dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (Studi Kasus Pada Pemerintah Kabupat*.
- Syafira, L., Harefa, K., Hidayat, O. S., & Habibi, M. R. (2022). Analisis PAD, DAU, DAK, DBH dan Belanja Modal serta pengaruhnya Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Provinsi Sumatera Utara. *Jurnal Akuntansi Bisnis Eka Prasetya*, 8(1), 80–89. <http://www.jurnal.eka-prasetya.ac.id/index.php/ABEP>
- Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2015). *Economic development (12th editi)*. Essex Pearson Education Limited. (2015). *Economic development (12th editi)*. Essex Pearson Education Limited.
- UNDP. (2024). *Human Development Report 2023/2024. United Nations Development Programme*. New York.
- Wati, E. J. S. (2023). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Dana Alokasi Umum, Dana Alokasi Khusus, Dan Dana Bagi Hasil Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia*.
- Widia Sofyan, V. R. (2023). Pengaruh PAD, DAU, DAK, Dan DBH Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM). *JRAK (Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Dan Bisnis)*, 9(1), 221–227. <https://doi.org/10.38204/jrak.v9i1.1090>

The Effect of the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH) on the Human Development Index (HDI) in Surabaya City-4.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

20%	16%	17%	6%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	eprints.uad.ac.id Internet Source	3%
2	journal.umpo.ac.id Internet Source	3%
3	Sonia Br Ginting, M. Taufiq, Wirya Wardaya. "Analysis of the Impact of Human Capital and Local Government Budget (Social Assistance) on Poverty Levels in Indonesia from 2013 to 2023", Journal of Macroeconomics and SocialDevelopment, 2025 Publication	2%
4	dinastipub.org Internet Source	1%
5	e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id Internet Source	1%
6	journal.conference.umpalopo.ac.id Internet Source	1%
7	Submitted to Universitas Islam Indonesia Student Paper	1%
8	garuda.ristekbrin.go.id Internet Source	1%
9	Henderson, Marlon D., Sr.. "Trust, Communication, and Commitment Between Pastor and Members: What Effect Does Servant Leadership Have on This Relationship?", Luther Rice College and Seminary Publication	1%
10	M. Ergyandi Barokha, Septriani Septriani. "An Examination of the Relationship between Educational Level and Economic Growth in	1%

-
- | | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 11 | Amalia Elena Putri, Dwi Susilowati.
"Determinants of the Human Development
Index (Case Study of 12 Districts in East Java)",
Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan, 2024
<small>Publication</small> | 1 % |
|----|--|-----|
-
- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 12 | knepublishing.com
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|---|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 13 | Agfia Cahya Leorisa, Hardiyanto Wibowo, Eko
Hariyanto, Novi Dirgantari. "The Effect of
Village Funds, General Allocation Funds, and
Special Allocation Funds on the Effectiveness
of Capital Expenditures for Regency / City
Infrastructure Development in Central Java",
East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary
Research, 2025
<small>Publication</small> | <1 % |
|----|---|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 14 | airjournal.org
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|--|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 15 | core.ac.uk
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|--|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 16 | ijefm.co.in
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|---|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 17 | jurnal.unismabekasi.ac.id
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|---|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 18 | newinera.com
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|--|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 19 | s3-ap-southeast-1.amazonaws.com
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|---|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 20 | www.internationaljournalsrsg.org
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
|----|--|------|
-
- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 21 | Vivi Duwi Lestari, Aya Soraya, Hwihanus
Hwihanus. "Corporate Social Responsibility,
Corporate Financial Performance and the
Confounding Effects of Economic
Fluctuations", Journal of Macroeconomics and
SocialDevelopment, 2024 | <1 % |
|----|---|------|

22 www.baarjournal.org <1 %
Internet Source

23 Erwin Hadisantoso, Arifuddin Arifuddin, Andi Basru Wawo, Muh. Ridwan. "The Influence of Original Local Government Revenue, Specific Allocation Fund on Government Capital Expenditures in Southeast Sulawesi District/ City", Owner, 2023 <1 %
Publication

24 journal.yrpioku.com <1 %
Internet Source

25 www.aasmr.org <1 %
Internet Source

26 link.springer.com <1 %
Internet Source

27 Heppy Purbasari, Andy Dwi Bayu Bawono, Luki Eko Wahyudi. "Important factors to influence the coverage of drinking water", Journal of Economics Research and Policy Studies, 2023 <1 %
Publication

28 "Data-Driven Decision Making for Sustainable Business Growth", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2025 <1 %
Publication

29 Ega Guspita, Erni Febrina Harahap. "The Effect of Regional Finance in Improving the Quality of Human Resources in West Sumatra", KnE Social Sciences, 2022 <1 %
Publication

30 Muhammad Rafi Bakri, Putu Permana Bagiada, Ni Luh Rosinta Yogantari, Luh Sri Marlina. "Accountability and fiscal transfer: The perfect duo for enhancing the regional economy", Jurnal Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara, 2024 <1 %
Publication

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On