

LETTER of ACCEPTANCE
Nomor: BMG-17/IURIS/XII/2024

Penulis yang terhormat: **Zidan Alrifqy Putra Arianto & Syofyan Hadi**

Dengan ini dinyatakan bahwa naskah jurnal saudara berjudul:

“Analisis Kesesuaian Pengangkatan Kepala Otorita Dan Wakil Kepala Ototrita Ibu Kota Nusantara Dalam Perspektif Demokrasi”.

Telah diterima pada **Iuris Studia: Jurnal Kajian Hukum** Terakreditasi SINTA 6 yang diterbitkan oleh **BUNDA MEDIA GRUP** dan dipublikasikan pada Volume 5 Nomor 3, Oktober 2024 – Januari 2025 melalui Url: <http://jurnal.bundamediagrup.co.id/index.php/iuris>

Terimakasih.

Medan, 17 Desember 2024

BUNDA MEDIA GRUP

Direktur Utama,



Dr. Rahmat Ramadhani, S.H., M.H

Manuscript Evaluation Form Reviewer

1.	Title	Abstracts and introduction is	Reflect the indicated of the manuscript file (2)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments (1)	I will answer this question at a later time
2.	Abstract	Conclusive (3)	Describe the essence on the manuscript file is	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments (1)	I will answer this question at a later time
3.	Keywords	Adequate (2)	Indicates is	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
4.	Introduction	Adequate and well states the objective (3)	Related to the work (2)	Insufficient and/or explicit hypothesis to be tested is missing (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments
5.	Materials and Methods	Experiments are properly planted and checked (2)	Describe at least 3 experiments in-practice (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
6.	Data	Data are reliable (8)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments (5)	I will answer this question at a later time (4)	I will answer this question at a later time (1)
7.	Interpretatio n and conclusion	Properly drawn in regard to methods and data (4)	Should be adjusted is	Inefficiently supported (2)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments
8.	Discussion	The relevance of the research is properly discussed (5)	Discussion could be improved is	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
9.	References	References are properly (3)	Did you references should be supported is	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
10.	Is the paper concisely written?	Yes (2)	Yes, but the paper that should be carefully checked	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
11.	English	Correct and understandable to international readers is	Readable (2)	Poor	I will answer this question at a later time
12.	Information contained the manuscript	Original and innovative is	Valuable confirmation (3)	Repetition of know results (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments
13.	Your decision	Accepted in its present form	Could be reconsidered after minor revision	Could be reconsidered after major revision	Rejected (Should not be published in the journal)
14.	Your comments	. The authors provide detailed analysis, I think the paper could prove to be very interesting and useful to a very large audience, possibly making it acceptable for publication.			